

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/026,043	10/25/2001	Huayan A. Wang	1190	8635	
Oleg F. Kaplur	7590 09/12/2007 n, Esq		EXAM	INER	
FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN LLP			KIM, JUNG W		
150 Broadway Suite 702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10038			2132		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/026,043	WANG ET AL
Examiner	Art Unit
Jung Kim	2132

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	10/020,0 /0					
before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jung Kim	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPER 700 07/9.						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	·	()				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(F10L-324).			
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	-					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PIO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
CILIDEDTO	BARRON JA	()				
OUDEDVICORY PAT	ENT EXAMINEN	/Jung Kim/ Examiner				
TECHNOLOGY (ENTER 2100	AU 2132				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 3: The proposed amendments, in particular the limitations, "determining if the particular access point has authentication data associated with the roaming device" and "if the determination is positive, or carrying out the authentication process at the authentication server if the determination is negative" then using the authentication data to locally authenticate the roaming device at the particular access point as claimed in claim 1; "if the access point has no authentication data associated with the roaming device" authenticating the roaming device with the authentication server as claimed in claim 10; sending authentication data to a first and second access point upon an initial authentication procedure of the roaming device with the first access point "when the first access point has no authentication data associated with the roaming device" as claimed in claim 16; and "if the access point connected with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associated with the roaming device has no authentication data associa

Continuation of 9: Applicant's arguments are based on the proposed amendment to the claims. Because the proposed amendment has not been entered, these arguments are not relevant to the instant claims.